

Is Intraoperative Ultrasound a Valuable Tool for Brain Arteriovenous Malformation Diagnosis and Treatment? A Case Report

Raphael Bertani ¹, Karl R. Abi-Aad ², Caio Perret ³, Ahmad K. AlMekkawi ⁴, Ruy Monteiro ¹

1. Neurosurgery, Hospital Municipal Miguel Couto, Rio de Janeiro, BRA 2. Neurosurgery, Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, USA 3. Neurosurgery, Federal University of the State of Rio De Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, BRA 4. Neurosurgery, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Brookline, USA

✉ **Corresponding author:** Raphael Bertani, neurosurgery@rbertani.com

Disclosures can be found in Additional Information at the end of the article

Abstract

The localization of arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) intraoperatively in the setting of an acute intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) is crucial to avoid damage of delicate vascular structures that may even further exacerbate the bleed. Currently, surgical mapping using preoperative angiographic is the standard of practice. We report the use of intraoperative ultrasound for the diagnosis and localization of an AVM in the case of a 61-year-old female with reported iodine contrast allergy and previous severe reaction, in a setting with limited resources, without other imaging options or timely transfer to another facility readily available. Immediate surgical care was warranted to avoid further deterioration of the patient; intraoperative diagnosis and localization of the suspected underlying lesion were done using ultrasound. The ultrasound display showed tubular anechoic intertwined structures that demonstrated bidirectional flow, which is suggestive of an AVM. The intraoperative diagnosis allowed the surgeon to avoid an inadvertent approach to the vascular malformation nidus or vessels, which could have further complicated the case. We believe that intraoperative ultrasound may be valuable for the neurosurgeons today in many settings. Despite the fact that this case occurred in a scenario with limited resources and no other imaging method (such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)) available, we advise readers not to rely solely on intraoperative ultrasound.

Categories: Healthcare Technology, Radiology, Neurosurgery

Keywords: brain avm, arteriovenous malformations (avms), intraoperative ultrasonography, intracerebral hemorrhage (ich), neurosurgery, cerebrovascular neurosurgery, emergency medicine

Introduction

Brain arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) are generally sporadic congenital developmental vascular lesions that occur in about 0.1% of the population, usually as single lesions [1-3]. AVM rupture is a rare cause of hemorrhagic stroke corresponding to around 2% of all intracranial hemorrhages [4]. The annual rate of bleeding is around 2.2%, doubling to 4.5% if the AVM has been previously ruptured [4].

They typically present in patients from 10 to 40 years with intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) (41%-79%) and/or seizures (11%-33%), depending on the location, size, and venous drainage [1,5-8]. These lesions may be identified on cross-sectional imaging, such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Contrast-enhanced imaging such as CT-angiography (CTA) provides more sensitivity than conventional CT and MRI. Furthermore, MRI-

Received 09/26/2019

Review began 10/01/2019

Review ended 10/08/2019

Published 10/11/2019

© Copyright 2019

Bertani et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 3.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article

Bertani R, Abi-Aad K R, Perret C, et al. (October 11, 2019) Is Intraoperative Ultrasound a Valuable Tool for Brain Arteriovenous Malformation Diagnosis and Treatment? A Case Report. Cureus 11(10): e5888. DOI 10.7759/cureus.5888

angiography (MRA) is even more sensitive than CTA, especially for the characterization of vascular features required for surgical planning. Although CT and MRI-angiography have been increasingly used, conventional angiography remains the gold standard and is routinely used for diagnosis and surgical planning [9-11].

Case Presentation

A 61-year-old woman, previously asymptomatic, was admitted to the emergency department of our hospital, with a history of a sudden headache and right-sided weakness. Family members reported that her symptoms first appeared in the morning and were progressively worsening. Upon examination, the patient was awake, disoriented, with a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score of 14 (motor response: 6; verbal response: 4; eye-opening: 4). She was hemodynamically stable (blood pressure: 136/68 mm Hg, heart rate: 93 beats per minute, oxygen saturation: 99%) with right-sided hemiparesis (power grade 3).

CT scan showed a 47 mL intraparenchymal frontal hematoma with midline shift (Figure 1). The hematoma was mostly hyperdense but heterogeneous, with hypodense areas within.




FIGURE 1: Axial section of the computed tomography (CT) scan

A: Predominantly hyperdense, right frontoparietal mass-occupying lesion, suggestive of hemorrhage with midline shift; B: Heterogenous densities (hypodense and hyperdense) within the same lesion. Volume estimation of 47 mL³.

This peculiar aspect raised suspicion of a possible underlying brain vascular malformation, and a CT-angiography was suggested. Upon explaining the case to family members, and taking the history of the patient, an allergy to intravenous iodine contrast with severe reaction was reported, thus rendering the team unable to immediately confirm the suspected diagnosis. The patient's neurological state declined in the meantime to GCS 12 (motor response: 6; verbal response: 3; eye-opening: 3), with surgery becoming imperative. At the moment, there was limited resource availability and an unknown delay in transferring the patient to another

facility. With the family’s consent, the patient was taken to the operating room for partial drainage of the hematoma. After the opening of the dura-mater, an ultrasound linear-array probe was used to successfully identify multiple, abnormal vascular structures and the presence of blood flow with the doppler function (Figure 2) (Video 1).





FIGURE 2: Intraoperative ultrasound

A: Gray-scale ultrasound with linear probe showing multiple hypoechoic/anechoic tubular structures (arrows) within the brain parenchyma. B: Doppler function showing bidirectional, high-blood flow within the tubular structures, suggestive of arteriovenous malformation (AVM) vessels.



VIDEO 1: Intraoperative ultrasound

Intraoperative ultrasound with linear probe at the brain parenchyma over the intraparenchymal hemorrhage. To the right: Gray-scale ultrasound showing multiple hypoechoic/anechoic tubular structures within the brain parenchyma. To the left: Doppler function showing bidirectional, high-blood flow within the tubular structures, suggestive of blood-flow within an AVM.

View video here: <https://youtu.be/qdZrJNaVrpE>

This enabled the team to perform safer, partial drainage of the hematoma, in an attempt to relieve intracranial hypertension without causing catastrophic blood loss by accidental vascular injury (Figure 3).




FIGURE 3: Axial sections of the post-operative computed tomography (CT) scan

A: Partial drainage of the hematoma and pneumoencephalon within the lesion; B: Partial drainage of the hematoma.

The patient was taken to the intensive care unit (ICU) postoperatively. She recovered to being oriented, scoring 15 points on the GCS and partially recovering power on the right side to grade 4 and was transferred to another center for endovascular treatment of the AVM.

Discussion

Ultrasound is a safe and reliable tool that may be used intraoperatively for brain AVMs, with its use also being reported for uterine and liver AVMs. It allows the neurosurgeon to gain further insight into the anatomy and blood flow parameters of brain AVMs that had been, in the most part, previously studied and diagnosed with other imaging methods (CT, MRI, angiography, and variations) and also to ensure complete resection [12-17].

Although angiography remains gold-standard with CTA or MRA as second-choices for diagnosis and study of AVMs, in this particular scenario, these standard imaging methods for AVM diagnosis were either unavailable or contraindicated for this specific patient. Intraoperative ultrasound proved to be a valuable tool to support the diagnostic hypothesis and an important visual aid to improve safety during surgery, enabling more precise locations of the structures the surgeon desires to avoid, such as the vessels and the nidus of the AVM.

Another option for this patient would have been performing a decompressive hemicraniectomy (DC), completely avoiding the hematoma and the underlying malformation. Although we have experience with this procedure and it has been reported in the literature regarding ICH, there are no standardized criteria for performing DC in the setting of ICH [18-19]. In our department, we opt for DC for ICH cases with:

1. GCS of 8 or less,

2. Estimated hematoma volume $\geq 25\text{mL}^3$ which will not be evacuated (unable to approach safely)
3. Obliteration of basal cisterns
4. Significant midline shift ($\geq 5\text{mm}$ with associated brain swelling)

In this case, although the estimated volume of the hematoma exceeded 25mL^3 , the patient presented a deterioration of the GCS from 14 to 12 and did not present a significant midline shift or obliteration of basal cisterns on CT scan. Moreover, during the intraoperative evaluation, the hematoma was deemed to be safely evacuated using microsurgical techniques and tools with delicate, soft suction and continuous irrigation.

The use of intraoperative ultrasound may also be of particular importance in the setting of ICH since angiography and MRI may not reveal the underlying malformation in the acute setting [20].

Conclusions

In our case, the standard imaging methods (angiography, CTA, MRA) for AVM diagnosis were either not readily available or contraindicated for this specific patient due to contrast medium allergy. We conclude that, although angiography remains gold-standard and other imaging methods should be used as second choice (CTA, MRA, MRI), in an emergency setting where a complete vascular study is not made possible and surgical evacuation of the hematoma was required, intraoperative ultrasound was a safe and valuable diagnostic tool that improved safety during surgery and enabled localization of the delicate vascular structures, such as the nidus and malformed vessels pertaining to the arteriovenous malformation, that were to be avoided, since accidental damage could have been catastrophic. A safe partial hematoma evacuation was made possible without any injury to the nidus or vessels of the AVM, that could be visualized with intraoperative ultrasound. The patient was safely and timely transferred to another unit for endovascular treatment. We believe intraoperative ultrasound should be added to neurosurgeon's armamentarium and neurosurgical training as it can be used in a myriad of different cases and situations and more studies should be conducted to assess other possibilities of its use.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. IRB approval has been waived issued approval IRB approval has been waived. Research involves minimal risk and only the report of a patient's case. **Conflicts of interest:** In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

1. Van Beijnum J, Van der Worp HB, Schippers H, et al.: Familial occurrence of brain arteriovenous malformations: a systematic review. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2007, 78:1213-1217. [10.1136/jnnp.2006.112227](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2006.112227)
2. Mohr JP, Keaja-Scharler J, Pile-Spellman J: Diagnosis and treatment of arteriovenous malformations. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep*. 2013, 13:324. [10.1007/s11910-012-0324-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-012-0324-1)
3. Willinsky RA, Lasjaunias P, Terbrugge K, Burrows P: Multiple cerebral arteriovenous

- malformations (AVMs) - review of our experience from 203 patients with cerebral vascular lesions. *Neuroradiology*. 1990, 32:207-210. [10.1007/BF00589113](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00589113)
4. Choi JH, Mast H, Sciacca RR, et al.: Clinical outcome after first and recurrent hemorrhage in patients with untreated brain arteriovenous malformation. *Stroke*. 2006, 37:1243-1247. [10.1161/01.STR.0000217970.18319.7d](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000217970.18319.7d)
 5. Gross BA, Du R: Natural history of cerebral arteriovenous malformations: a meta-analysis. *J Neurosurg*. 2013, 118:215-488. [10.3171/2012.10.JNS121280](https://doi.org/10.3171/2012.10.JNS121280)
 6. Garcin B, Houdart E, Porcher R, et al.: Epileptic seizures at initial presentation in patients with brain arteriovenous malformation. *J Neurosurg*. 2012, 9:626-631. [10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182494d40](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182494d40)
 7. Fullerton HJ, Achrol AS, Johnston S, et al.: Long-term hemorrhage risk in children versus adults with brain arteriovenous malformations. *Stroke*. 2005, 36:2099-2104. [10.1161/01.STR.0000181746.77149.2b](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000181746.77149.2b)
 8. Al-Shahi Salman R: The outlook for adults with epileptic seizure(s) associated with cerebral cavernous malformations or arteriovenous malformations. *Epilepsia*. 2012, 53:34-42. [10.1111/j.1528-1167.2012.03611.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2012.03611.x)
 9. Geibprasert S, Pongpech S, Jiarakongmun P, Shroff MM, Armstrong DC, Krings T: Radiologic assessment of brain arteriovenous malformations: what clinicians need to know. *RadioGraphic*. 2010, 30:483-501. [10.1148/rg.302095728](https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.302095728)
 10. Saleh RS, Singhal A, Lohan D, Duckwiler G, Finn P, Ruehm S: Assessment of cerebral arteriovenous malformations with high temporal and spatial resolution contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography: a review from protocol to clinical application. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2008, 19:251-257. [10.1097/RMR.0b013e3181a98d5f](https://doi.org/10.1097/RMR.0b013e3181a98d5f)
 11. Ferrara AR: Brain arteriovenous malformations. *Radiol Technol*. 2011, 82:543.
 12. Farias MS, Santi CC, Lima AAA, Teixeira SM, De Biase TCG: Radiological findings of uterine arteriovenous malformation: a case report of an unusual and life-threatening cause of abnormal vaginal bleeding. *Radiol Bras*. 2014, 47:02-10. [10.1590/S0100-39842014000200016](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-39842014000200016)
 13. Leen E, Ceccotti P, Moug SJ, et al.: Potential value of contrast-enhanced intraoperative ultrasonography during partial hepatectomy for metastases: an essential investigation before resection?. *Ann Surg*. 2006, 243:236-240. [10.1097/01.sla.0000197708.77063.07](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000197708.77063.07)
 14. Wang Y, Wang Y, Wang Y, Taniguchi N, Chen XC: Intraoperative real-time contrast-enhanced ultrasound angiography: a new adjunct in the surgical treatment of arteriovenous malformations. *J Neurosurg*. 2007, 107:959-964. [10.3171/JNS-07/11/0959](https://doi.org/10.3171/JNS-07/11/0959)
 15. Lindegaard KF, Grolimund P, Aaslid R, Nornes H: Evaluation of cerebral AVM's using transcranial Doppler ultrasound. *J Neurosurg*. 1986, 65:335-344. [10.3171/jns.1986.65.3.0335](https://doi.org/10.3171/jns.1986.65.3.0335)
 16. Fu B, Zhao JZ, Yu LB: The application of ultrasound in the management of cerebral arteriovenous malformation. *Neurosci Bull*. 2008, 24:387-394. [10.1007/s12264-008-1013-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12264-008-1013-6)
 17. Marcos D, Daniel ER: Intraoperative ultrasound navigation with doppler function to guide arteriovenous malformation resection: 3-dimensional operative video. *Oper Neurosurg*. 2019, 17:E162-E163. Accessed: September 30, 2019: [10.1093/ons/opy006](https://doi.org/10.1093/ons/opy006)
 18. Fung C, Murek M, ZGraggen W, et al.: Decompressive hemicraniectomy in patients with supratentorial intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke*. 2012, 43:3207-3211. [10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.666537](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.666537)
 19. Takeuchi S, Takasato Y, Masaoka H, Nagatania K, Otania N, Wadaa K, Moria K: Decompressive craniectomy for arteriovenous malformation-related intracerebral hemorrhage. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2015, 22:483-487. [10.1016/j.jocn.2014.08.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2014.08.033)
 20. Sattur MG, Abi-Aad KR, Tian F, Welz ME, Anderies B, Bendok BR: Treatment strategy of a patient with a brain arteriovenous malformation and cranial dural fistula: 2-dimensional operative video. *Oper Neurosurg*. 2019, 16:636. Accessed: September 30, 2019: [10.1093/ons/opy208](https://doi.org/10.1093/ons/opy208)